

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,806	11/26/2003	Charles L. Compton	CCCI 0114 PUS 9770		
22045	7590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.			LEE, DAVID J		
1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD	SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			2633	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/723,806	COMPTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Lee	2633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/at Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	nt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3, 6-10, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sayyah et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0002099 A1).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Sayyah teaches an apparatus for use in an HFC network (paragraph 0003, line 4) to provide the HFC forward path spectrum from the head end (fig. 9, 911) to a network fiber node (fig. 9, 160), the apparatus comprising: a head end modulator (fig. 9, 911) directly receiving a switchable digital data signal (fig. 9, 106) and internally processing the switchable digital data signal to produce the HFC forward path spectrum that directly drives the network fiber node (fig. 9, head end modulator 911 processes 106 with 109).

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Sayyah teaches that the head end modulator generates an analog optical signal for the network fiber node (fig. 9, 910).

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Sayyah teaches that the head end modulator processes the switchable digital data signal to dynamically allocate bandwidth to

Art Unit: 2633

different services (fig. 9, λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 , λ_4 each have a different bandwidth that can be allocated to different desired destinations. See also paragraph 0003, lines 3-6).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Sayyah teaches that the switchable digital data is received as a single digital data signal input (fig. 9, $106 - d_1(t)$).

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Sayyah teaches that the switchable digital data is received as a plurality of digital data inputs (fig. 9, 106 – $d_1(t)$, $d_2(t)$, $d_3(t)$, $d_4(t)$).

3. Claims 1-3 and 6-10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Farhan et al. (US Patent No. 6,519,067 B2).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Farhan teaches an apparatus for use in an HFC network (col. 6, line 10) to provide the HFC forward path spectrum from the head end (fig. 5) to a network fiber node (fig. 6), the apparatus comprising: a head end modulator directly receiving a switchable digital data signal (fig. 5, 210) and internally processing the switchable digital data signal to produce the HFC forward path spectrum that directly drives the network fiber node (fig. 5 – through digital pilot tone generator 210 and A/D 505).

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Farhan teaches that the head end modulator generates an analog optical signal for the network fiber node (fig. 5, 205 and 502).

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Farhan teaches that the head end modulator processes the switchable digital data signal to dynamically allocate bandwidth to different services (col. 6, lines 7-11).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Farhan teaches that the switchable digital data is received as a single digital data input (fig. 5, 502 to 205).

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Farhan teaches that the switchable digital data is received as a plurality of digital data signal inputs (fig. 5, 502 to 205 and 503 to 505).

Regarding claim 15, Farhan teaches a system for use in an HFC network to provide the HFC forward path spectrum (col. 6, line 10) from the head end (fig. 5) to a plurality of network fiber nodes (fig. 6, the filters 315 and 625 lead to different fiber nodes), the system comprising: a plurality of head end modulators (fig. 5, the system comprising the set of 220, 215, 205, and 210 is considered a first head end modulator and the set of 520, 515, 505, and 210 is considered a second head end modulator), each modulator directly receiving a switchable digital data signal (from 502 and 503) and internally processing the switchable digital data signal to produce the HFC forward path spectrum that directly drives an associated network fiber node (drives it through fiber 110), wherein each individual modulator processes its received switchable digital data signal to dynamically allocate bandwidth to different services (col. 6, lines 7-10) to provide an essentially narrow cast approach among the plurality of modulators (col. 5, lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 16, Farhan teaches that the head end modulator generates an analog optical signal for the network fiber node (fig. 5, 205 and 502).

Regarding claim 19, Farhan teaches that the switchable digital data is received as a single digital data input (fig. 5, 502 to 205).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-5 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sayyah.

Regarding claims 4-5 and 11-12, Sayyah teaches all the limitations as applied to claims 1 and 8 except for the limitation that the signal is received in the form of a 1 GigE signal or a 10 GigE signal. Sayyah discloses that it is desirable to the bandpass in the range of 10 GHz (paragraph 0041). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to receive signals in the form of a 1 GigE signal or a 10 GigE signal because these are known cost-effective switchable technologies that could possibly provide increased flexibility at the head end (Applicant specification, page 1, lines 24-26).

6. Claims 4-5 and 11-12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farhan.

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claims 4-5 and 11-12 and 17-18, Farhan teaches all the limitations as applied to claims 1 and 8 except for the limitation that the signal is received in the form of a 1 GigE signal or a 10 GigE signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to receive signals in the form of a 1 GigE signal or a 10 GigE signal because these are known cost-effective switchable technologies that could possibly provide increased flexibility at the head end (Applicant specification, page 1, lines 24-26).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2220. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.R. SEDIGHIAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

DJL